BRIGHT AND DARK SOLITONS OF THE MODIFIED COMPLEX
GINZBURG LANDAU EQUATION WITH PARABOLIC
AND DUAL-POWER LAW NONLINEARITY

HOURIA TRIKI 1, SIHON CRUTCHER 2, AHMET YILDIRIM 3,4
T. HAYAT 5,6, OMAR M. ALDOSSARY 6, ANJAN BISWAS 7

1 Badji Mokhtar University, Department of Physics,
2300 Anaba, Algeria
2 US Army Research, Development and Engineering Command,
Building 5400, Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5000, USA
3 Ege University, Department of Mathematics,
35100 Bornova, Izmir, TURKEY, E-mail: ahmetyildirim80@gmail.com
4 University of South Florida, Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Tampa, FL 33620-5700, USA
5 Qaid-i-Azam University, Department of Mathematics,
Islamabad-44000, Pakistan
6 King Saud University, Department of Physics, P. O. Box 2455,
Riyadh-11451, Saudi Arabia
7 Delaware State University, Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Dover, DE 19901-2277, USA, E-mail: biswas.anjan@gmail.com

Received November 29, 2011

Abstract. This paper obtains the exact bright and dark soliton solutions of the complex
Ginzburg-Landau equation with parabolic and dual-power law nonlinearities, governing
the propagation of solitons through nonlinear optical fibers. The solitary wave ansatz is
used to carry out the integration of the considered models. Parametric conditions for the
existence of the exact solutions are given.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The study of the modified complex Ginzburg-Landau (mCGL) equation has
been going on for the past few years [1–20]. There has been quite a bit of focus on
the study of this equation with Kerr and power laws of nonlinearity. One of the
most important aspects of this equation is in its integrability. Previously some
authors have addressed the integrability of this mCGL equation in Kerr and power
law nonlinearity. However, in those papers the semi-inverse variational principle
was applied to carry out the integration of this equation [1].
Several methods of integrating such types of equations have been developed in the past few years. They are the $G'/G$ method, exp-function method, Riccati equation method, simplest equation method, Fan’s $F$-expansion method, Lie symmetry analysis, collective variable approach, and many others. In this paper, there will be one such method that will be addressed to solve the mCGL equation, that is known as the ansatz method. It is only the parabolic law as well as the dual-power laws of nonlinearity that will be addressed.

2. GOVERNING EQUATION

The dimensionless form of the mCGL equation that will be studied in this paper is given by [1]

$$i q_t + a q_{xx} + b F \left( |q|^2 \right) q = \alpha \frac{|q_x|^2}{q} + \frac{\beta}{4|q|^2} \left[ 2|q|^2 (|q|^2)_{xx} - \left( (|q|^2)_x \right)^2 \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Equation (1) is the mCGL equation with nonlinearity given by the function $F$. This equation for Kerr law nonlinearity was studied using the Hirota bilinear method. The modulational stability of this equation was also addressed [11].

In (1) the dependent variable $q(x,t)$ represents the wave profile that arises in various physical systems, including nonlinear optics, plasma physics and others. The independent variables are $x$ and $t$ that represents the spatial and temporal variables. The real valued constants are $a$, $b$, $\alpha$, and $\beta$ where $a$ and $b$ represents the coefficients of dispersion and nonlinearity. The function $F$ is a real-valued algebraic function and it is necessary to have the smoothness of the complex function $F \left( |q|^2 \right) q : C \mapsto C$. Considering the complex plane $C$ as a two-dimensional linear space $R^2$, the function $F \left( |q|^2 \right) q$ is $k$ times continuously differentiable, so that [1]

$$F \left( |q|^2 \right) q \in \bigcup_{m,n=1}^{\infty} C^k \left( (-n,n) \times (-m,m); R^2 \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

In this paper the two forms of nonlinearity of the function $F$ that will be considered are the parabolic law and the dual-power law. The next two subsections are going to focus on the ansatz method to extract the 1-soliton solutions for these two types of nonlinear media.
3. PARABOLIC LAW

For parabolic law medium, \( F(s) = bs + cs^2 \) so that equation (1) can be rewritten as [4]

\[
iq_t + aq_{xx} + \left(b |q|^2 + c |q|^4 \right)q = \alpha \frac{|q|^2}{q^*} + \frac{B}{4|q|^2 q^*} \left[ 2|q|^2 \left(|q|^2 \right)_{xx} - \left\{ \left(|q|^2 \right)_{x} \right\}^2 \right], \tag{3}
\]

where \( c \) is another real-valued constant. Parabolic law nonlinearity arises in the context of plasma physics to study the nonlinear interaction between Langmuir waves and electrons. This equation (2) will be studied in this section and the bright and dark 1-soliton solution will be obtained in this context. This study will now be split into the following two subsections where the bright and dark solitons will be studied separately.

3.1. BRIGHT SOLITONS

In order to obtain the bright soliton solution to (3), the starting hypothesis is taken to be [4]

\[
q(x,t) = \frac{A}{[D_i + \cosh \tau]^p} e^{i\varphi}, \tag{4}
\]

where

\[
\tau = B(x - vt) \tag{5}
\]

and the phase is given by

\[
\varphi(x,t) = -\kappa x + \omega t + \theta. \tag{6}
\]

Here, in (4–6), \( A \) is the amplitude of the soliton, while \( v \) is the velocity and \( B \) is the inverse width of the soliton. Also, \( \kappa \) is the frequency of the soliton, while \( \omega \) is the wave number of the soliton and \( \theta \) is the phase constant. The exponent \( p \) is unknown at this point and its value will fall out in the process of deriving the solution of this equation. Also \( D_i \) is a constant whose value in terms of the known parameters will be determined during the course of derivation of the soliton solution. Thus from ansatz (4),

\[
q_t = \left\{ \frac{pBvA \sinh \tau}{[D_i + \cosh \tau]^p+1} + \frac{i\omega A}{[D_i + \cosh \tau]^p} \right\} e^{i\varphi}, \tag{7}
\]
Substituting (7–11) into (4) yields

\[ q_{xx} = \frac{p(p + 1)B^2A(D_1^2 - 1)}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^{p+2}} + \frac{ApB^2}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^{p}} - \frac{p(2p + 1)D_1B^2A}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^{p+1}} + \frac{2ip \kappa BA \sinh \tau}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^{p+1}} - \frac{A\kappa^2}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^{p+1}} \text{e}^{i\omega}, \quad (8) \]

\[ \left( \frac{|q|^2}{q^*} \right)_{xx} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{4Ap^2B^2}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^{p}} - \frac{2p(4p + 1)AB^2D_1}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^{p+1}} + \frac{2p(2p + 1)AB^2(D_1^2 - 1)}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^{p+2}} \text{e}^{i\omega} \\
\frac{4Ap^2B^2}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^{p}} - \frac{8p^2AB^2D_1}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^{p+1}} + \frac{4p^2AB^2(D_1^2 - 1)}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^{p+2}} \text{e}^{i\omega}. \end{array} \right. \quad (9) \]

Now, separating the real and imaginary parts in Eq. (12), we get the following pair of relations:

\[ \alpha A + a\kappa - aAp^2B^2 + \alpha \left( p^2B^2 + \kappa^2 \right) + \beta Ap^2B^2 \]

\[ + \frac{2\alpha Ap^2B^2D_1 + (\beta - a)p(2p + 1)AB^2D_1 + ipBvA \sinh \tau + 2ai\kappa BA \sinh \tau}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^{p+1}} \]

\[ + \frac{ap(p + 1)B^2A(D_1^2 - 1) - \alpha Ap^2B^2(D_1^2 - 1) - \beta p(p + 1)AB^2(D_1^2 - 1)}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^{p+2}} \]

\[ + \frac{ba^3}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^{1p}} + \frac{ca^5}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^{3p}} = 0. \quad (12) \]

Now, separating the real and imaginary parts in Eq. (12), we get the following pair of relations:

\[ \frac{pBA(\nu + 2\alpha \kappa) \sinh \tau}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^{p+1}} = 0 \quad (13) \]

and
\[
\frac{\omega A + a\alpha \kappa^2 - aAp^2B^2 + \alpha A\left(p^2B^2 + \kappa^2\right) + \beta Ap^2B^2}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^p} + 2\alpha Ap^2B^2D_1 + (\beta - a) p(2p + 1) AB^2D_1
\]
\[
\frac{ap(p + 1) B^2 A\left(D_1^2 - 1\right) - \alpha Ap^2 B^2 \left(D_1^2 - 1\right) - \beta p(p + 1) AB^2 \left(D_1^2 - 1\right)}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^{p + 1}}
\]
\[
+ \frac{bA^3}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^{p + 1}} + \frac{cA^5}{[D_1 + \cosh \tau]^{3p}} = 0.
\]

From (13), the velocity of the soliton is given by
\[
v = -2\kappa a.
\]

From the real part equation (14), equating the exponents \(p + 2\) and \(5p\) gives
\[
p + 2 = 5p
\]
which implies
\[
p = \frac{1}{2}.
\]

The same value of \(p\) is also obtained when the exponents \(p + 1\) and \(3p\) are equated against each other. Now, from (14), the linearly independent functions are \(1/[D + \cosh \tau]^{p+j}\) for \(j = 0, 1, 2\). Therefore, setting their respective coefficients to zero yields
\[
\omega A + a\alpha \kappa^2 - aAp^2B^2 + \alpha A\left(p^2B^2 + \kappa^2\right) + \beta Ap^2B^2 = 0, \tag{18}
\]
\[
2\alpha Ap^2B^2D_1 + (\beta - a) p(2p + 1) AB^2D_1 + bA^3 = 0, \tag{19}
\]
\[
(a - \beta) p(p + 1) B^2 A\left(D_1^2 - 1\right) - \alpha Ap^2B^2 \left(D_1^2 - 1\right) + cA^5 = 0. \tag{20}
\]

Solving the above equations gives
\[
\omega = \frac{(a - \alpha - \beta) B^2 - 4(a + \alpha) \kappa^2}{4}, \tag{21}
\]
\[
A = \sqrt{B} \left[\frac{4b^2}{\left[\alpha + 2(\beta - a)\right] B^2} + \frac{4c}{3(a - \beta) - \alpha}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}}, \tag{22}
\]
As seen from (22) and (23), the soliton pulse will exist for

$$\alpha + 2(\beta - a) \neq 0; \ 3(a - \beta) - \alpha \neq 0. \tag{24}$$

Thus, the bright soliton solution to the mCGL equation with parabolic law nonlinearity (3) is given by

$$q(x,t) = \frac{A}{D_1 + \cosh B(x - vt)} e^{i(\kappa x + \omega t + \gamma t + \theta)}, \tag{25}$$

where the velocity $v$ is given by (15), the wave number $\omega$ is shown in (21), the amplitude $A$ of the soliton is given by (22) while the parameter $D_1$ is given by (23). Note that this solution exists provided that the constraint equations between the model coefficients $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $a$ given in (24) are satisfied.

### 3.2. DARK SOLITONS

Now we are interested by finding the exact dark soliton solution for the considered mCGL equation with parabolic law nonlinearity (3). Dark solitons are also known as topological optical solitons in the context of Theoretical Physics.

The starting ansatz is

$$q(x,t) = (A + \lambda \tanh \tau)^p e^{i\varphi}, \tag{26}$$

where $\tau$ and $\varphi$ are the same as in (5) and (6).

Here in (26), $A$, $\lambda$, and $B$ are unknown free parameters and $v$ is the velocity of the wave. In this case, the unknown exponent $p$ will also fall out during the course of the derivation of the soliton solution to (3). From the ansatz (26), we get

$$q_i = \frac{B\nu}{\lambda^2} \left[ (A + \lambda \tanh \tau)^{p+1} - 2A [A + \lambda \tanh \tau]^p - (\lambda^2 - A^2) [A + \lambda \tanh \tau]^{p-1} \right] + i\omega [A + \lambda \tanh \tau]^p e^{i\varphi}. \tag{27}$$
Substituting (27–31) into (3), and then separating the real and imaginary parts, we can obtain the following pair of equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{q_{xx}}{\lambda^4} &= \left[ \frac{pB^2}{\lambda^4} \left( (p-1)(\lambda^2 - A^2)^2 \right) \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p-2} - (p+1) \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p+2} \\
- 2A \left( 2p+1 \right) \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p+1} + 2A \left( 2p-1 \right) \left( \lambda^2 - A^2 \right) \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p-1} \\
+ 2p \left( 3A^2 - \lambda^2 \right) \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p} - \kappa^2 \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p} \\
- \frac{2i\kappa Bp}{\lambda^2} \left( (\lambda^2 - A^2) \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p+1} + 2A \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p} - \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p+1} \right) \right] e^{i\omega},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\frac{\left| q_{x} \right|^4}{q^{*}} = \left[ \frac{p^2 B^2}{\lambda^4} \left( \left( 2p-1 \right)(\lambda^2 - A^2)^2 \right) \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p-2} - (2p+1) \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p+2} \\
+ 2p \left( 3A^2 - \lambda^2 \right) \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p} + 4A \left( 4p-1 \right) \left( \lambda^2 - A^2 \right) \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p-1} \\
- 2A \left( 4p+1 \right) \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p+1} \right] \right] e^{i\omega},
\]

\[
\frac{\left| \left[ q_{x} \right]^2 \right|}{\left| q^* \right|^2} = \left[ \frac{4p^2 B^4}{\lambda^2} \left( \lambda^2 - A^2 \right)^2 \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p-2} + \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p+2} \\
+ 2 \left( 3A^2 - \lambda^2 \right) \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p} + 4A \left( \lambda^2 - A^2 \right) \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p-1} \\
- 4A \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p+1} \right] \right] e^{i\omega}.
\]

Substituting (27–31) into (3), and then separating the real and imaginary parts, we can obtain the following pair of equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\alpha pB^2 \left( (p-1) - \alpha p^2 B^2 - pB^2 \left( 2p-1 \right) - \beta p^2 B^4 \right) \left( \lambda^2 - A^2 \right)^2}{\lambda^4} \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p-2} \\
+ \frac{2\alpha pB^2 A \left( 2p-1 \right) - 4\alpha p^2 B^2 A \left( 4p-1 \right) - 4\beta p^2 B^4 A \left( \lambda^2 - A^2 \right)}{\lambda^4} \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p} \\
- \frac{\alpha pB^2 \left( p+1 \right) + \alpha p^2 B^2 - pB^2 \left( 2p+1 \right) + \beta p^2 B^4}{\lambda^4} \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p+2} \\
+ \frac{4\alpha p^2 B^2 A \left( 4p+1 \right) + 4\beta p^2 B^4 A - 2\alpha pB^2 A \left( 2p+1 \right)}{\lambda^4} \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p+1} \\
+ \frac{2 \left( a - \alpha - 2\beta B^2 \right) \left( 3A^2 - \lambda^2 \right)}{\lambda^4} - \left( \alpha + \beta \right) \kappa^2 \left( a - \omega \right) \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p} \\
+ b \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p} + c \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p} = 0
\end{align*}
\]
and
\[ \frac{Bp(v + 2\alpha\kappa)}{\lambda^2} \left[ (A + \lambda \tanh \tau)^{p+1} - 2A(A + \lambda \tanh \tau)^p - \left( \lambda^2 - A^2 \right)(A + \lambda \tanh \tau)^{p+1} \right] = 0 . \] (33)

From the imaginary part equation (33), the soliton velocity is the same as in the case of bright solitons that is given by (15). From the real part equation (32), equating the exponents of \( [A + B \tanh \tau]^p \) and \( [A + B \tanh \tau]^{p+2} \) terms in Eq. (36), one again obtains the same value of \( p \) as in (17).

Now, from (32), the linearly independent functions are \( [A + B \tanh \tau]^{p+j} \) for \( j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2 \). Therefore, setting their respective coefficients to zero yields the following system of algebraic equations:

\[ \begin{align*}
\{ apB^2 (p - 1) - \alpha p^2 B^2 - \beta pB^2 (2p - 1) - \beta p^2 B^4 \} (\lambda^2 - A^2) &= 0, \\
2apB^2 A (2p - 1) - 4\beta pB^2 A (4p - 1) - 4\beta p^2 B^4 A (\lambda^2 - A^2) &= 0 \\
- \frac{apB^2 (p + 1) + \alpha p^2 B^2 - \beta pB^2 (2p + 1) + \beta p^2 B^4}{\lambda^4} + c &= 0, \\
4\alpha p^3 B^2 A + 2\beta pB^2 A (4p + 1) + 4\beta p^3 B^4 A - 2apB^2 A (2p + 1) + b &= 0, \\
\frac{2\{ a - \alpha - 2\beta - \beta B^2 \} p^2 B^2 (3A^2 - \lambda^2)}{\lambda^4} - (a + \alpha)\kappa^2 - \omega &= 0.
\end{align*} \] (34-38)

The dark soliton solutions study will now be split into the following two cases:

**Case I:** \( \lambda^2 - A^2 \neq 0 \). In this case,

\[ B = \sqrt{\frac{a + \alpha}{\beta}}, \] (39)

\[ B = \sqrt{\frac{-\alpha + \beta}{\beta}}, \] (40)

\[ \omega = \frac{(a - \alpha - 2\beta - \beta B^2)(3A^2 - \lambda^2)B^2 - 2(a + \alpha)\lambda^4\kappa^2}{2\lambda^4}, \] (41)

\[ A = \frac{b\lambda^4}{(5a - 7\beta)B^2 - 4c\lambda^4}. \] (42)
Equating the two values of $B$ from (39) and (40) shows that dark solitons will exist provided that $\beta = a$ and $\beta(a + \alpha) < 0$. Furthermore, free parameter $A$ can be obtained in terms of the model coefficients by substituting (39) or (40) into (42) to yield

$$A = \frac{b\beta\lambda^4}{4c\beta\lambda^4 - (5a - 7\beta)(a + \alpha)},$$

which exists provided that

$$4c\beta\lambda^4 - (5a - 7\beta)(a + \alpha) \neq 0.$$  (44)

**Case II:** $\lambda^2 - A^2 = 0$. Here, (36–38) yields

$$A = \pm \lambda,$$  (45)

$$A = \frac{b\lambda^4}{(5a - 7\beta)B^2 + 4c\lambda^4},$$  (46)

$$\omega = \frac{(a - \alpha - 2\beta - \beta B^2)B^2 - (a + \alpha)\lambda^2\kappa^2}{\lambda^2}.$$  (47)

Eq. (46) shows that the soliton will exist for

$$4c\beta\lambda^4 \neq (5a - 7\beta)(a + \alpha).$$  (48)

Hence, finally, the dark soliton solution to the mCGL equation with parabolic law nonlinearity (3), for the two cases together, is given by

$$q(x,t) = \left[A + \lambda \tanh \left[ B \left( x - vt \right) \right] \right]^{1/2} e^{(-\kappa x + \omega t + \gamma y + \theta)}.$$  (49)

where the velocity $v$ is given by (15) and the wave number $\omega$ is given by (41) if $A \neq \pm \lambda$ and by (47) when $A = \pm \lambda$. It is worth noting that the existence of the dark soliton solution (49) depends on the characteristics of the nonlinear medium, which satisfy the conditions given above.

4. **DUAL-POWER LAW NONLINEARITY**

In this subsection, the mCGL equation with dual power law nonlinearity will be studied. In this case, the governing equation is given by [4]
\begin{align}
    iq_t + aq_{xx} + (b|q|^{2n} + c|q|^{4n})q &= \alpha \frac{|q|^2}{q^*} + \frac{\beta}{4|q|^4} \left[ 2|q|^2 \left( \frac{|q|^2}{q^*} \right)_{xx} - \left( \frac{|q|^2}{q^*} \right)_x^2 \right]. \tag{50}
\end{align}

Notice that Eq. (50) reduces to the mCGL equation with parabolic law nonlinearity (3) if $n = 1$.

### 4.1. BRIGHT SOLITONS

For searching bright optical soliton solution, we use the same starting hypothesis as in (4). This leads to the same imaginary part equation as given by (13) and thus the velocity is still as in (15). The real part equation reveals

\begin{align}
\frac{\alpha \omega + a \alpha \kappa}{D_l + \cosh \tau} + \frac{2 \alpha a \beta p B^2 D_l + (\beta - a) p (2 p + 1) AB^2 D_l}{D_l + \cosh \tau}^p + \frac{\alpha a \beta p B^2 D_l + (\beta - a) p (2 p + 1) AB^2 D_l}{D_l + \cosh \tau}^p = 0. \tag{51}
\end{align}

Now, from (51), matching the exponents $p(4n + 1)$ and $p + 2$ gives

\begin{align}
p(4n + 1) = p + 2 \tag{52}
\end{align}

which implies

\begin{align}
p = \frac{1}{2n} \tag{53}
\end{align}

for

\begin{align}
n \neq 0. \tag{54}
\end{align}

The same value of $p$ is obtained on equating the exponents $p(2n + 1)$ and $p + 1$. Similarly, from (51) as in the parabolic law case, the linearly independent functions are $1/[D_l + \cosh \tau]^{p+j}$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$. Thus, setting their respective coefficients to zero yields the following parametric equations:
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\begin{align}
\omega A + aA\kappa^2 - aA\kappa^2B^2 + \alpha A\left(p^2B^2 + \kappa^2\right) + \beta A\kappa^2B^2 &= 0, \\
2\alpha A\kappa^2B^2D_1 + (\beta - a)p\left(2p + 1\right)AB^2D_1 + bA^{2n+1} &= 0,
\end{align}

\begin{align}
(a - \beta)p\left(p + 1\right)B^2A\left(D_1^2 - 1\right) - \alpha A\kappa^2B^2\left(D_1^2 - 1\right) + cA^{4n+1} &= 0. \\
\end{align}

Solving the above equations gives

\begin{align}
\omega &= \left(a - \alpha - \beta\right)B^2 - 4n^2\left(a + \alpha\right)\kappa^2, \\
D_1 &= -\frac{nb}{\left[\alpha + (\beta - a)(n + 1)\right]}B^2\left(\frac{b^2n^2}{\left[\alpha + (n + 1)(\beta - a)\right]^2B^2} + \frac{c}{(a - \beta)(2n + 1) - \alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{59}
\end{align}

\begin{align}
A &= B^{2n}\left[\frac{4b^2n^4}{\left[\alpha + (n + 1)(\beta - a)\right]^2B^2} + \frac{4n^2c}{(a - \beta)(2n + 1) - \alpha}\right]^{\frac{1}{4n}}. \tag{60}
\end{align}

As seen from (59) and (60), the soliton pulse will exist for

\begin{align}
\alpha + (n + 1)(\beta - a) \neq 0, \quad \& \quad (a - \beta)(2n + 1) - \alpha \neq 0. \tag{61}
\end{align}

Finally, the bright soliton of the complex modified mCGL equation with dual power law nonlinearity (50) is

\begin{align}
q(x,t) &= \frac{A}{\left[D_1 + \cosh\left[B\left(x - vt\right)\right]\right]^{\frac{1}{2n}}}e^{i(-\kappa x + \omega t + \gamma t + 0)}, \tag{62}
\end{align}

where the velocity \(v\) is given by (15), the wave number \(\omega\) is shown in (58), the parameter \(D_1\) is given by (59) while the amplitude \(A\) of the soliton is given by (60). Note that this solution exists provided that the constraint equations between the model coefficients \(\alpha, \beta\) and \(a\) given in (61) are satisfied.

### 4.2. DARK SOLITONS

For dark solitons, we use the same solitary wave ansatz as in (26). This leads to the same imaginary part equation as in (33) and thus the velocity of the soliton is the same as given by (15). Now, the real part equation is
$$\left\{ apB^2 \left( p - 1 \right) - \alpha p^2 B^2 - p \beta B^2 \left( 2 p - 1 \right) - \beta p^2 B^4 \right\} \left( \lambda^2 - A^2 \right)^2 \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^p = 0$$

$$\frac{4 \alpha p^2 B^2 A + 2 \beta p B^2 A \left( 4 p + 1 \right) + 4 \beta p^2 B^4 A - 2 apB^2 A \left( 2 p + 1 \right)}{\lambda^4} \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p+1}$$

$$\left[ 2 \left[ a - \alpha - 2 \beta - \beta B^2 \right] \lambda^2 \left( 3 A^2 - \lambda^2 \right) - (a + \alpha) \kappa^2 - \omega \right] \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p+1}$$

$$+ b \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p \left(2n+1\right)} + c \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \tau \right]^{p \left(4n+1\right)} = 0.$$

By equating the exponents of \( (A + B \tanh \tau)^{p\left(2n+1\right)} \) and \( (A + B \tanh \tau)^{p+2} \) terms in Eq. (63), one obtains the same value of \( p \) as in (53) along with the same restriction as (54). By collecting the coefficients of the linearly independent functions \( (A + B \tanh \tau)^{p+j} \) for \( j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2 \) in Eq. (63), and setting them to zero, yields

$$\left\{ apB^2 \left( p - 1 \right) - \alpha p^2 B^2 - p \beta B^2 \left( 2 p - 1 \right) - \beta p^2 B^4 \right\} \left( \lambda^2 - A^2 \right)^2 = 0,$$  \( \text{Eq. (64)} \)

$$\left\{ 2 \alpha p^2 B^2 A + 2 \beta p B^2 A \left( 4 p + 1 \right) + 4 \beta p^2 B^4 A - 2 \alpha pB^2 A \left( 2 p + 1 \right) \right\} \left( \lambda^2 - A^2 \right) = 0,$$  \( \text{Eq. (65)} \)

$$\frac{apB^2 \left( p + 1 \right) + \alpha p^2 B^2 - p \beta B^2 \left( 2 p + 1 \right) + \beta p^2 B^4}{\lambda^3} + c = 0,$$  \( \text{Eq. (66)} \)

$$\frac{4 \alpha p^2 B^2 A + 2 \beta p B^2 A \left( 4 p + 1 \right) + 4 \beta p^2 B^4 A - 2 \alpha pB^2 A \left( 2 p + 1 \right)}{\lambda^4} + b = 0,$$  \( \text{Eq. (67)} \)

$$\frac{2 \left[ a - \alpha - 2 \beta - \beta B^2 \right] \lambda^2 \left( 3 A^2 - \lambda^2 \right) - (a + \alpha) \kappa^2 - \omega}{\lambda^4} = 0.$$  \( \text{Eq. (68)} \)

As in parabolic law, the two cases are

**Case I:** \( \lambda^2 - A^2 \neq 0 \). Here,

$$B = \sqrt{\frac{a - \alpha - 2 \beta + 2n (\beta - a)}{\beta}},$$  \( \text{Eq. (69)} \)
Modified complex Ginzburg Landau equation

\[ B = \sqrt{\frac{a - \alpha - 2\beta + n(\beta - a)}{\beta}}, \quad (70) \]

\[ \omega = 2(a - \alpha - 2\beta - \beta B^2)(3A^2 - \lambda^2)B^2 - 4(a + \alpha)n^2\kappa^2\lambda^4, \quad (71) \]

\[ A = \frac{n^2b\lambda^4}{aB^2(3n + 2) - \beta B^2(3n + 4) - 4c\lambda^4n^2}. \quad (72) \]

Equating the two values of \( B \) from (69) and (70) shows that dark solitons will exist provided that \( \beta = a \) and

\[ \beta \left[ a - \alpha - 2\beta + 2n(\beta - a) \right] > 0. \quad (73) \]

Additionally, we can determine the parameter \( A \) of the soliton in terms of the model coefficients by substituting (69) or (70) into (72) for \( \beta = a \) which gives

\[ A = \frac{n^2b\lambda^4}{a(3n + 2) + \beta(3n + 4))(\alpha + \beta) - 4c\lambda^4n^2}. \quad (74) \]

The second case is given by

**Case II:** \( A^2 - A^2 = 0. \) Here, Eqs. (64)-(68) yields

\[ A = \pm\lambda, \quad (75) \]

\[ A = \frac{n^2b\lambda^4}{aB^2(3n + 2) - \beta B^2(3n + 4) - 4c\lambda^4n^2}, \quad (76) \]

\[ \omega = \frac{\left[ a - \alpha - 2\beta - \beta B^2 \right] B^2 - (a + \alpha)\kappa^2\lambda^2n^2}{\lambda^2n^2}. \quad (77) \]

Hence, finally, the dark soliton solution to the mCGL equation with dual-power law nonlinearity (50) is given by

\[ q(x,t) = \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \left[ \frac{B(x - vt)}{\kappa} \right] \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i(-\kappa x + \omega t + \gamma t + \theta)} \quad (78) \]

where the velocity \( v \) is given by (15) and the wave number \( \omega \) is given by (71) if \( A \neq \pm\lambda \) and by (77) when \( A = \pm\lambda \). It is worth noting that the existence of the dark soliton solution (77) depends on the characteristics of the nonlinear medium, which satisfy the conditions given above.

Equating the two values of \( B \) from (69) and (70) shows that dark solitons will exist provided that \( \beta = a \) and

\[ \beta \left[ a - \alpha - 2\beta + 2n(\beta - a) \right] > 0. \quad (73) \]

Additionally, we can determine the parameter \( A \) of the soliton in terms of the model coefficients by substituting (69) or (70) into (72) for \( \beta = a \) which gives

\[ A = \frac{n^2b\lambda^4}{a(3n + 2) + \beta(3n + 4))(\alpha + \beta) - 4c\lambda^4n^2}. \quad (74) \]

The second case is given by

**Case II:** \( A^2 - A^2 = 0. \) Here, Eqs. (64)-(68) yields

\[ A = \pm\lambda, \quad (75) \]

\[ A = \frac{n^2b\lambda^4}{aB^2(3n + 2) - \beta B^2(3n + 4) - 4c\lambda^4n^2}, \quad (76) \]

\[ \omega = \frac{\left[ a - \alpha - 2\beta - \beta B^2 \right] B^2 - (a + \alpha)\kappa^2\lambda^2n^2}{\lambda^2n^2}. \quad (77) \]

Hence, finally, the dark soliton solution to the mCGL equation with dual-power law nonlinearity (50) is given by

\[ q(x,t) = \left[ A + \lambda \tanh \left[ \frac{B(x - vt)}{\kappa} \right] \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i(-\kappa x + \omega t + \gamma t + \theta)} \quad (78) \]

where the velocity \( v \) is given by (15) and the wave number \( \omega \) is given by (71) if \( A \neq \pm\lambda \) and by (77) when \( A = \pm\lambda \). It is worth noting that the existence of the dark soliton solution (77) depends on the characteristics of the nonlinear medium, which satisfy the conditions given above.
5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the soliton ansatz method is used to integrate the mCGL equation. There are two types of nonlinearity that are considered in this paper. They are the parabolic law and its generalization, namely the dual-power law nonlinearity. Both bright and dark 1-soliton solutions were obtained. The parameter restrictions in all four cases were laid down that fell out automatically from the soliton parameters.

These results are going to be very useful in carrying out further analysis. Later these relations will be applied to soliton perturbation theory and to formulate the quasi-particle theory. The quasi-stationary soliton solutions will also be studied with the perturbation terms present. The stochasticity will also be taken into consideration. All such results will be reported gradually in future publications.
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